



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,985	07/13/2006	Naoyuki Kohno	80441(302767)	1712
21874	7590	09/30/2011	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP				
P.O. BOX 55874			YAKOVLEVA, GALINA M	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/30/2011	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/585,985	KOHNO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	GALINA YAKOVLEVA	1641

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 22 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-11.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12-34.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

\_\_\_\_\_.

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Mark L. Shibuya/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1641

Continuation of 5. The previous Advisory Action is hereby withdrawn in light of the Renewed Petition For Acceptance Of An Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim, filed 08/03/2011, to consider Applicant's amendment to the specification filed on 07/22/2011.

Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of Claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishibu et al. is withdrawn. Based on the amended priority paragraph, the instant application is a US national stage application PCT/JP2005/000737, filed on January 21, 2005, and a CIP of PCT/JP2004/000504 filed on January 21, 2004, which claims priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 to PCT/JP2004/000504 filed on January 21, 2004. On February 12, 2010, the Applicants submitted a verified translation of PCT/JP2004/000504. The effective US application date of PCT/JP2004/000504 is the international filing date of January 21, 2004. This date is within one year of Nishibu (August 1, 2003), therefore the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) over Nishibu is withdrawn.

Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicant traverses the 103 (a) rejection on the grounds that "the skilled artisan would perform binding of protein in the presence of methanol only, but would not think to add SDS, because of the disclosures in the cited art." This argument is not persuasive for the reasons set forth in the Final Office Action. In addition, it is noted that, in Abstract, Jacobson indicates that both methanol and SDS are commonly used additives for immobilizing proteins. This common knowledge would have led one of ordinary skill to modify the teachings of Cheley to arrive at the claimed method. The rejection of Claims 1-11 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Chely et al., and in view of Jacobson et al, is therefore maintained.